

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/914,872		02/07/2002	John C. Alexander	3179/1Z	4170	
26648	7590	03/27/2006		EXAM	INER	
		RPORATION		HUI, SAN	HUI, SAN MING R	
GLOBAL PATENT DEPARTMENT POST OFFICE BOX 1027				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST. LOUIS	, MO 63	006	1617			

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/914,872	ALEXANDER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	San-ming Hui	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 l</u>	December 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits i					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-60</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-60</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ıer					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	m priority amater to the city	3 (2) (2) 3. (.).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have beer	n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	t received.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendments filed December 6, 2005 have been entered. Claims 45-60 are added. Claims 1-44 are cancelled.

Claims 45-60 are pending.

After the amendments, the claims are directed to composition and method of treating cardiovascular disease comprising eplerenone, ramipril, and a loop diuretic.

Since the claims are no longer directed to the elected specie, quinapril, the outstanding rejection is withdrawn. The examination will now be directed to ramipril.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 45-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO96/40257 ('257) from IDS filed September 5, 2001, Fossa (US patent 5,663,188), and Dahlstrom et al. (Am J Cardiol. 1993 Jan 21;71(3):29A-33A).

'257 teaches eplerenone as useful in treating congestive heart failure. '257 also teaches that a combination of other compounds that is a same class as eplerenone, diuretics and ACE inhibitors captopril as useful in treating heart failure (See page 9, lines 21-24, also page 6, lines 25 – page 7, line 6).

Application/Control Number: 09/914,872

Art Unit: 1617

Fossa teaches ramipril as effective in treating congestive heart failure (See col. 1, lines 50-53; claims 15, 18, and 22 for example).

Dahlstrom et al. teaches furosemide, and/or spironolactone, and/or digoxin combined with ACE inhibitor captopril as effective in treating congestive heart failure (See the abstract and page 32A-33A, discussion Section).

The references do not expressly teach the combination of eplerenone, furosamide, and ramipril, in the herein claimed dosage, in a single composition. The references do not expressly teach the use of such combination in the method of treating congestive heart failure (CHF).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine eplerenone, furosamide, and ramipril, in the herein claimed dosage, into a single composition. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the combination in a method of treating CHF.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine eplerenone, furosamide, and ramipril, in the herein claimed dosage, into a single composition. The herein claimed agents are known to be useful in treating congestive heart failure, both individually or in combination, therefore, combining these agents into a single composition useful for the very same purpose, i.e., treating congestive heart failure, would be prima facie obvious (See *In re Kerkhoven* 205 USPQ 1069). Furthermore, the optimization of result effect parameters (e.g., dosage range, dosing regimens) is obvious as being within the skill of the artisan. In addition, one of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 09/914,872

Art Unit: 1617

skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the combination in a method of treating CHF. Employing the combination of the herein claimed agents, which are known to be useful for treating CHF, would be reasonably expected to be effective, at least additive effect would be expected.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 45-60 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming Hui whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 09/914,872

Art Unit: 1617

0626. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon 9:00 to 1:00, Tu - Fri from 9:00 to

6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD., can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

San-ming Hui Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 1617